arXiv:1612.05911v1 [hep-ph] 18 Dec 2016

Gluon density from the Berger-Block-Tan form of the structure function F_2

N.Yu. Chernikova
Sunday school, 141980 Dubna (Moscow region), Russia.

A.V. Kotikov *
Bogolubov Laboratory for Theoretical Physics,
JINR, 141980 Dubna (Moscow region), Russia.

(Dated: December 20, 2016)

Abstract

We present a set of formulas to extract the gluon density from the Berger-Block-Tan form of the deep inelastic structure function F_2 at small x in the leading order of perturbation theory.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Hi, 11.15.Me, 12.38.-t, 12.38.Bx

* E-mail address: kotikov@theor.jinr.ru

For experimental studies of hadron-hadron processes on the LHC collider, it is necessary to know in detail the values of the parton (quark and gluon) distribution functions (PDFs) of nucleons, especially at small values of Bjorken variable x. The basic information about PDF properties can be extracted from the process of deep inelastic lepton-hadron scattering (DIS).

In the snall-x regime, nonperturbative effects were expected to give important contributions. However, what is observed up to very low $Q^2 \sim 1$ GeV^2 values, traditionally explained by soft processes, is described reasonably well by perturbative OCD (pQCD) evolution (see, for example, [1]). The pQCD evolution leads to a ruther singular PDF behavior at small x values (see [2] and references therein), which violates the Froissard boundary [3].

Recently the new form of the DIS structure function (SF) $F_2(x, Q^2)$ was proposed in [4], which will be called below as the Berger-Block-Tan (BBT) structure function. The SF $F_2^{\rm BBT}(x, Q^2)$ leads to the low x asymptotics of the (reducted) DIS cross-sections $\sim \ln^2 1/x$, which is in turn in an agreement with the Froissard predictions [3].

In the present paper we study the behaviour of the gluon density $xf_g(x, Q^2)$ at small values of x, using the SF $F_2^{\rm BBT}(x, Q^2)$ and following to our previous studies in [5]. We will show that, the small x behaviour of the corresponding gluon density $xf_g^{\rm BBT}(x, Q^2)$ can be extracted directly from the values of $F_2^{\rm BBT}(x, Q^2)$ (see Eq. (11) below) at the leading order (LO) of perturbation theory.

1. At the LO the SF F_2 relates with the quark density $sf_q(x, Q^2)$ ss

$$F_2(x, Q^2) = exf_q(x, Q^2), \quad e = \frac{1}{f}\sum_{i=1}^{f} e_i^2 \equiv \frac{e_{2f}}{f}\,, \tag{1}$$

where e is the average charge square and f is the number of active quarks.

The famous DGLAP equations [6] relate the gluon and quark densities at LO as

$$\frac{d(xf_a(x, Q^2))}{dlnQ^2} = -\frac{a_s(Q^2)}{2}\sum_{a,b=s,g} P_{ab}^{(0)}(x) \otimes xf_b(x, Q^2), \tag{2}$$

where $a_s(Q^2)$ the strong coupling constant

$$a_s(Q^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{\beta_0 \ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2_{\rm LO})} \tag{3}$$

and $P_{ab}^{(0)}(x)$ $(a, b = s, g)$ and β_0 are the LO splitting functions and the first coefficient of QCD β-function. The symbol $\otimes$ marks the Mellin convolution

$$f_1(x) \otimes f_2(x) \equiv \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} f_1(y) f_2\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\,. \tag{4}$$

Using the above equations (1) and (2) together we have got the following final se of

equations

$$\frac{dF_2(x,Q^2)}{dlnQ^2} = -\frac{a_s(Q^2)}{2}\left[eP^{(0)}_{sg}(x)\otimes xf_g(x,Q^2) + P^{(0)}_{ss}(x)\otimes F_2(x,Q^2)\right], \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{d(xf_g(x,Q^2))}{dlnQ^2} = -\frac{a_s(Q^2)}{2}\left[P^{(0)}_{gg}(x)\otimes xf_g(x,Q^2) + e^{-1}P^{(0)}_{gs}(x)\otimes F_2(x,Q^2)\right]. \tag{6}$$

Following to the BBT form $F_2^{\rm BBT}(x,Q^2)$ in (11), the first equation can be considered as the definition of the gluon density $xf_g^{\rm BBT}(x,Q^2)$.

The second equation is usually violated beyond the perturbation theory. The most often violation is done by addition of the term $\sim (xf_g)^2$ into the r.h.s. of (6) (see, foe example, Refs.[7, 8]). This term modifies strongly the low x asymptotics of the gluon density, which becomes to be ruther flat. Such types of gluon density leads to less singular form of the high-energy asymptotics of cross-sections [9]. Below, we will not take into account the equation (6), but we think that it is violated in such way to obtain the BBT-like asymptotics $\sim \ln^2(1/x)$ for $xf_g^{\rm BBT}(x,Q^2)$ and $F_2^{\rm BBT}(x,Q^2)$ at small x values.

2. The Mellin convolution, shown above in (4), tells us that the Mellin transform of the equation (5) simplifies strongly its form. Indeed, after the Mellin transform the r.h.s. will contain only simple products of the corresponding Mellin moments. So, we go to Mellin space, using the following Mellin moments

$$M_2(n,Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx x^{n-2}F_2(x,Q^2), \quad M_g(n,Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx x^{n-1}f_g(x,Q^2)\,, \tag{7}$$

$$\gamma^{(0)}_{ab}(n) = \int_0^1 dx x^{n-2}P^{(0)}_{ab}(x)\,. \tag{8}$$

After the Mellin transform, the equation (5) leads to the following form

$$\frac{dM_2(n,Q^2)}{dlnQ^2} = -\frac{a_s(Q^2)}{2}\left[e\gamma^{(0)}_{sg}(n)M_g(n,Q^2) + \gamma^{(0)}_{ss}(n)M_2(x,Q^2)\right], \tag{9}$$

with the LO anomalous dimensions $\gamma^{(0)}_{sp}(n)$ $(p=s,g)$, which have the following form

$$\gamma^{(0)}_{sg}(n) = -\frac{4f(n^2+n+2)}{n(n+1)(n+2)}, \quad \gamma^{(0)}_{ss}(n) \;=\; \frac{32}{3}\left[\Psi(n) - \Psi(1) - \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\right], \tag{10}$$

where $\Psi(n)$ is the Euler Ψ-function.

3. We use the extended BBT form of the proton SF at $x < x_P = 0.011$, following to Ref. [11], where the combine H1 and ZEUS data [12] taken into account:

$$F_2^{\rm BBT}(x,Q^2) = (1-x)\sum_{m=0}^{2} A_m(Q^2)L^m \tag{11}$$

where

$$A_0 \;=\; \frac{F_P}{1-x_P}, \quad F_P = 0.413 \pm 0.003, \quad L = \ln\left[\frac{(1-x)x_P}{(1-x_P)x}\right]$$

$$A_i(Q^2) \;=\; \sum_{k=0}^{2} a_{ik}\,l^k = \sum_{k=0}^{2}\tilde{a}_{ik}\,\ln^k(Q^2), \quad i=(1,2), \quad l = \ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2_{\rm LO}) \tag{12}$$

with

$$a_{i2} = \tilde{a}_{i2}, \quad a_{i1} = \tilde{a}_{i1} - 2q\tilde{a}_{i2}, \quad a_{i0} = \tilde{a}_{i0} - q\tilde{a}_{i1} + q^2\tilde{a}_{i2}, \quad q = -2\ln(\Lambda_{\rm LO}), \tag{13}$$

and

$$\tilde{a}_{10}\cdot 10^2 = -8.471 \pm 0.252, \quad \tilde{a}_{11}\cdot 10^2 = 4.180 \pm 0.156, \quad \tilde{a}_{12}\cdot 10^4 = -3.973 \pm 2.139,$$
$$\tilde{a}_{20}\cdot 10^2 = 1.297 \pm 0.030, \quad \tilde{a}_{21}\cdot 10^3 = 2.473 \pm 0.246, \quad \tilde{a}_{22}\cdot 10^3 = 1.642 \pm 0.055\,. \tag{14}$$

We will try to find the gluon density $f_g^{\rm BBT}(x,Q^2)$ in a similar form [16]

$$f_g^{\rm BBT}(x,Q^2) = \sum_{m=0}^{2} B_m(Q^2)L^m, \quad B_i(Q^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{2} b_{ik}\, l^k\,, i = (0,1,2), \tag{15}$$

Performing the Mellin transforms (7) and (8), we have the following representations for the $M_k(n,Q^2)$ $(k=2,g)$ at $n = 1+\omega$ and $\omega \to 0$

$$M_2^{\rm BBT}(n,Q^2) \;=\; \frac{x_p^\omega}{\omega}\left[A_0 + \frac{1}{\omega}A_1(Q^2) + \frac{2}{\omega^2}A_2(Q^2) + O(x_p)\right] \tag{16}$$

$$M_g^{\rm BBT}(n,Q^2) \;=\; \frac{x_p^\omega}{\omega}\left[B_0 + \frac{1}{\omega}B_1(Q^2) + \frac{2}{\omega^2}B_2(Q^2) + O(x_p)\right]. \tag{17}$$

These results are based on the basic integrals

$$\int_0^{x_p} dx x^{n-2}(1-x)L^k(x) = k!\frac{x_p^\omega}{\omega^{k+1}} + O(x_p^{\omega+1}) \tag{18}$$

From Eqs.(9), (16) and (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1'(Q^2)\,L + A_2'(Q^2)\,L^2 \;&=\; -\frac{a_s}{2}\Bigg[\\ &e\Big\{\gamma_{sg}^{(0)}B_0(Q^2) + \left(\gamma_{sg}^{(0)}L + \dot{\gamma}_{sg}^{(0)}\right)B_1(Q^2) + \left(\gamma_{sg}^{(0)}L^2 + 2\dot{\gamma}_{sg}^{(0)}L + \ddot{\gamma}_{sg}^{(0)}\right)B_2(Q^2)\Big\} \\ &+\Big\{\gamma_{ss}^{(0)}A_0 + \left(\gamma_{ss}^{(0)}L + \dot{\gamma}_{ss}^{(0)}\right)A_1(Q^2) + \left(\gamma_{ss}^{(0)}L^2 + 2\dot{\gamma}_{ss}^{(0)}L + \ddot{\gamma}_{ss}^{(0)}\right)A_2(Q^2)\Big\}\Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where

$$A_i'(Q^2) = \frac{A_i(Q^2)}{dlnQ^2} = a_i^{(1)} + 2a_i^{(2)}\,l, \tag{20}$$

$$\gamma_{sp}^{(0)} = \gamma_{sp}^{(0)}(1), \quad \dot{\gamma}_{sp}^{(0)} = \frac{d}{d\delta}\gamma_{sp}^{(0)}(1+\delta)|_{\delta=0}, \quad \ddot{\gamma}_{sp}^{(0)} = \frac{d^2}{(d\delta)^2}\gamma_{sp}^{(0)}(1+\delta)|_{\delta=0}. \tag{21}$$

Comparing the l.h.s. and r.h.s. at same power of L we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &B_2(Q^2) = -\frac{2}{ea_s\gamma_{sg}^{(0)}}A_2'(Q^2), \quad B_1(Q^2) = -\frac{2}{ea_s\gamma_{sg}^{(0)}}A_1'(Q^2) - \frac{2\dot{\gamma}_{ss}^{(0)}}{e\gamma_{sg}^{(0)}}A_2(Q^2) - \frac{2\dot{\gamma}_{sg}^{(0)}}{\gamma_{sg}^{(0)}}B_2(Q^2), \\ &B_0(Q^2) = -\frac{1}{e\gamma_{sg}^{(0)}}\left(\dot{\gamma}_{ss}^{(0)}A_1(Q^2) + \ddot{\gamma}_{ss}^{(0)}A_2(Q^2)\right) - \frac{1}{\gamma_{sg}^{(0)}}\left(\dot{\gamma}_{sg}^{(0)}B_1(Q^2) + \ddot{\gamma}_{sg}^{(0)}B_2(Q^2)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where we used the propoerty $\gamma_{ss}^{(0)}(1) = 0$.

Using the above values (10) for the anomalous dimensions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{sg}^{(0)} &= -\frac{8f}{3}, \quad \dot{\gamma}_{sg}^{(0)} = \frac{26f}{9}, \quad \ddot{\gamma}_{sg}^{(0)} = -\frac{172f}{27}, \\
\gamma_{ss}^{(0)} &= 0, \quad \dot{\gamma}_{ss}^{(0)} = \frac{32}{3} I_1, \quad \ddot{\gamma}_{ss}^{(0)} = \frac{32}{3} I_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

with $I_1 = \zeta(2) - 5/8$ and $I_2 = 9/8 - 2\zeta(3)$. Putting the results (23) to Eqs. (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_2(Q^2) = \frac{3}{4f}\frac{1}{ea_s} A_2'(Q^2), \quad B_1(Q^2) = \frac{3}{4f}\frac{1}{ea_s} A_1'(Q^2) + \frac{8}{ef} I_1 A_2(Q^2) + \frac{13}{6} B_2(Q^2), \\
&B_0(Q^2) = \frac{4}{ef}\Big(I_1 A_1(Q^2) + I_2 A_2(Q^2) \Big) + \frac{13}{12} B_1(Q^2) - \frac{89}{36} B_2(Q^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Comparing the lhs and rhs parts, we find the coefficients b_{ik} shown in (15)

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_{20} = 0, \quad b_{2j} = \frac{3j\beta_0}{4e_{2f}} a_{2j}, \quad b_{10} = \frac{8I_1}{e_{2f}} a_{20}, \quad b_{1j} = \frac{3j\beta_0}{4e_{2f}} a_{1j} + \frac{8I_{1j}}{e_{2f}} a_{2j}, \\
&b_{00} = \frac{4I_1}{e_{2f}} a_{10} + \frac{4\tilde{I}_2}{e_{2f}} a_{20}, \quad b_{01} = \frac{4I_{11}}{e_{2f}} a_{11} + \frac{4I_{21}}{e_{2f}} a_{21}, \quad b_{02} = \frac{4I_1}{e_{2f}} a_{12} + \frac{4I_{22}}{e_{2f}} a_{22},
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $j = (1, 2)$ and

$$I_{1j} = I_1 + \frac{13j\beta_0}{64}, \quad \tilde{I}_2 = I_2 + \frac{13}{6} I_1, \quad I_{2j} = \tilde{I}_2 - \frac{3j\beta_0}{128}. \tag{26}$$

Conclusion Using the suggested form (15) for the gluon density $f_g^{\rm BBT}(x, Q^2)$, we recovered its parameters at the LO of perturbation theory as combination of the corresponding parameters (12) of the SF $F_2^{\rm BBT}(x, Q^2)$.

The gluon density $f_g^{\rm BBT}(x, Q^2)$ is significantly less singular in comparison with the corresponding PDFs in perturbation theory (see, for example, [2]). Such form is in an agreement with the Froissard boundary [3] and can be useful for study [9, 13] of the high-energy behavior of photon, neutrino and proton cross sections.

As the next step of our investigations we plan extend the analysis beyond the leading order (see [14]). Moreover we plan to reconstract the longitudinal SF F_L at low x values following to Ref. [15].

Acknowledgments

A.V.K. was supported in part by the RFBR Foundation through Grant No. 16-02-00790-a.

---

[1] A. M. Cooper-Sarkar, R. C. E. Devenish and A. De Roeck, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **13**, 3385 (1998); A. V. Kotikov, Phys. Part. Nucl. **38**, 1 (2007) [Phys. Part. Nucl. **38**, 828 (2007)].

[2] A. V. Kotikov and G. Parente, Nucl. Phys. B **549**, 242 (1999); A. Y. Illarionov, A. V. Kotikov and G. Parente Bermudez, Phys. Part. Nucl. **39**, 307 (2008); A. V. Kotikov and B. G. Shaikhatdenov, Phys. Part. Nucl. **44**, 543 (2013); Phys. Atom. Nucl. **78**, 525 (2015); arXiv:1606.07888 [hep-ph].

[3] M. Froissart, Phys. Rev. **123**, 1053 (1961).

[4] E. L. Berger, M. M. Block and C. I. Tan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 242001 (2007); M. M. Block, E. L. Berger and C. I. Tan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 252003 (2006).

[5] A. V. Kotikov, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 5746 (1994); Phys. Atom. Nucl. **57**, 133 (1994).

[6] V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **15**, 438 (1972); L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **20**, 94 (1975); G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B **126**, 298 (1977); Y. L. Dokshitzer, Sov. Phys. JETP **46**, 641 (1977).

[7] L. V. Gribov, E. M. Levin and M. G. Ryskin, Phys. Rept. **100**, 1 (1983); A. H. Mueller and J. w. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. B **268**, 427 (1986); A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B **335**, 115 (1990).

[8] W. Zhu, Nucl. Phys. B **551**, 245 (1999); W. Zhu and J. h. Ruan, Nucl. Phys. B **559**, 378 (1999); W. Zhu, J. h. Ruan, J. f. Yang and Z. q. Shen, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 094015 (2003).

[9] R. Fiore, L. L. Jenkovszky, A. V. Kotikov, F. Paccanoni, A. Papa and E. Predazzi, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 033002 (2005); Phys. Rev. D **68**, 093010 (2003); R. Fiore, L. L. Jenkovszky, A. V. Kotikov, F. Paccanoni and A. Papa, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 053012 (2006); A. Y. Illarionov, B. A. Kniehl and A. V. Kotikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 231802 (2011).

[10] C. Lopez and F. J. Yndurain, Nucl. Phys. B **183**, 157 (1981); Nucl. Phys. B **171**, 231 (1980).

[11] M. M. Block, L. Durand, P. Ha and D. W. McKay, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 094010 (2011).

[12] F. D. Aaron *et al.* [H1 and ZEUS Collaborations], JHEP **1001**, 109 (2010).

[13] C. A. Argelles, F. Halzen, L. Wille, M. Kroll and M. H. Reno, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 7, 074040 (2015); A. Cooper-Sarkar, P. Mertsch and S. Sarkar, Pramana **79**, 1301 (2012).

[14] A. V. Kotikov, JETP Lett. **59**, 667 (1994); A. V. Kotikov and G. Parente, Phys. Lett. B **379**, 195 (1996).

[15] A. V. Kotikov, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **80**, 979 (1995); A. V. Kotikov and G. Parente, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **12**, 963 (1997).

[16] Notice that we omit the factor $(1-x)$, which contributes into Eq. (11). It is in agreement with the quarks sum rules, where $f_s(x)/f_g(x) \sim (1-x)$ at $x \to 1$.